An overview of progress towards (0,2) mirror symmetry

> Eric Sharpe, Virginia Tech

``(0,2) mirror symmetry & quantum sheaf cohomology," Potsdam, August 17–21, 2009 Mirror symmetry:

\* CFT(X) = CFT(Y)\* Exchanges Hodge numbers \* Exchanges worldsheet instanton sums with classical computations \* Led to tremendous strides in enumerative geometry

The hope of this workshop is to generalize the results (and, hopefully, success!) of mirror symmetry.

(0,2) mirror symmetry is a generalization of ordinary mirror symmetry that is believed to occur in heterotic strings.

> Some initial work was done in '94-'96; but once string duality was discovered, everyone's attention quickly shifted, leaving unfinished business.

In recent years, several groups have been working out natural continuations of work done then.

**The hope:** enough basics have been done to start making serious progress.

## Outline

-- review classic constructions of ordinary mirrors, and in each case, what is known about (0,2) analogues

-- outline quantum sheaf cohomology,
 (0,2) A, B models

-- some modern ideas: lift to LG models, fibered affine algebras, etc

Emphasis on listing open problems.

Let  $(X_1, E_1)$  be a Calabi-Yau  $X_1$  and stable holomorphic vector bundle  $E_1$  of rk r, s.t.  $ch_2(TX_1) = ch_2(E_1)$ .

Claim there exists another such pair (X<sub>2</sub>,E<sub>2</sub>), where dim X<sub>2</sub> = dim X<sub>1</sub>, rk E<sub>2</sub> = rk E<sub>1</sub>, s.t.: \* CFT(X<sub>1</sub>,E<sub>1</sub>) = CFT(X<sub>2</sub>,E<sub>2</sub>), hence \* total number of complex, Kahler, bundle moduli invariant

\* 
$$h^{i}\left(X_{1},\Lambda^{j}\mathcal{E}_{1}\right) = h^{j}\left(X_{2},\left(\Lambda^{i}\mathcal{E}_{2}\right)^{\vee}\right)$$

(0,2) mirror symmetry should reduce to ordinary mirror symmetry in the special case: E<sub>1</sub> = TX<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> = TX<sub>2</sub>

\* Ordinary mirror symmetry exchanges complex <-> Kahler; this is why worldsheet instanton sums are exchanged with classical computations.

\* But in (0,2) mirrors, no physical reason
 why complex, Kahler can't mix with each other
 and with bundle moduli.
 Always exchange quantum <-> quantum,
 a priori never quantum <-> classical.

Instead of exchanging (p,q) forms, (0,2) mirror symmetry exchanges sheaf cohomology:

 $H^{j}(X_{1}, \Lambda^{i}\mathcal{E}_{1}) \leftrightarrow H^{j}(X_{2}, (\Lambda^{i}\mathcal{E}_{2})^{\vee})$ Note when  $\mathcal{E}_{i} \cong TX_{i}$  this reduces to  $H^{d-1,1}(X_{1}) \leftrightarrow H^{1,1}(X_{2})$ (for  $X_{i}$  Calabi-Yau)

## Numerical tests of ordinary mirror symmetry

### Shown are CY 3-folds:



Vertical axis: h<sup>1,1</sup> + h<sup>2,1</sup> Horizontal axis: 2(h<sup>1,1</sup> - h<sup>2,1</sup>) = 2 (# Kahler - # cpx defs) Mirror symm' ==> symm' across vert' axis

-- numerical evidence for mirror symmetry

(Klemm, Schimmrigk, NPB 411 (`94) 559-583)

## Numerical tests of (0,2) mirror symmetry

#### Shown are CY 3-folds + bundles:



Horizontal:  $h^{1}(\mathcal{E}) - h^{1}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee})$ Vertical:  $h^{1}(\mathcal{E}) + h^{1}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee})$ where  $\mathcal{E}$  is rk 4 -- numerical evidence

for (0,2) mirrors

(Blumenhagen, Schimmrigk, Wisskirchen, NPB 486 ('97) 598–628)

# How to find mirrors?

One of the original methods: **``Greene-Plesser orbifold construction''** 

Idea: orbifold a hypersurface by automorphisms.

Example: quintic near Fermat point  $Q_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^4 \xleftarrow{\mathsf{mirror}} Q_5/\mathbf{Z}_5^3$ 

(only useful for special cpx structures, ie, near Fermat)

# How to find mirrors?

(0,2) analogue of Greene-Plesser exists: (Blumenhagen, Sethi, hepth/9611172)

Example:  $X = \mathbf{P}_{[1,1,1,1,2,2]}^{5}[4,4]$  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{1}^{5} \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(5) \longrightarrow 0$ 

is mirror to a  $Z_5$  orbifold

How to find mirrors? Berglund – Hubsch transpositions -- an attempt to get away from Fermat points  $x_1^{a_1} + x_1 x_2^{a_2} + \dots + x_{n-1} x_n^{a_n} \leftrightarrow A \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$  $A^{T} \leftrightarrow y_{n}^{a_{n}} + \cdots + y_{2}^{a_{2}}y_{3} + y_{1}^{a_{1}}y_{2}$ **Open problem**: no known (0,2) analogue

# How to find mirrors?

Batyrev's construction: For a hypersurface in a toric variety, mirror symmetry exchanges

polytope of ambient ← toric variety dual polytope, for ambient t.v. of mirror

(generalization to CI exists, but here I'll only describe hypersurfaces)

# How to find mirrors? Example of Batyrev's construction: T<sup>2</sup> as deg 3 hypersurface in P<sup>2</sup>



 $= \mathbf{P}^2/\mathbf{Z}_3$ 

Result: deg 3 hypersurface in P<sup>2</sup> mirror to Z<sub>3</sub> quotient of deg 3 hypersurface

(Greene, Plesser)

How to find mirrors? Open problem: No known (0,2) analogue of Batyrev's construction. **Speculation:** Could there exist suitable polytopes for T-equivariant bundles on toric varieties? Can describe such bundles by generalizing fans: associate a filtration of a fixed vector space to each toric divisor. (Klyachko) Question: in any special cases, can one associate a polytope?

# How to find mirrors?

In add'n, Batyrev's construction is only known in (2,2) cases for spaces, not stacks. Evidence for existence of analogue for stacks: \* analogues of fans known for toric stacks; involve eg element of cyclic group assigned to edges

\* mirrors to stacks often involve eg  $Z_N$ -valued fields as products in polynomials

-- ingredients present, but never been assembled

## How to find mirrors? Monomial-divisor mirror map (Aspinwall, Greene, Morrison, alg-geom/9309007)

-- a refinement of Batyrev's construction that maps specific cpx moduli to specific Kahler moduli

**Open problem**: no known (0,2) analogue

# How to find mirrors?

Periods, Picard-Fuchs equations

**Open problem**: no known (0,2) analogue

# How to find mirrors?

Gauged linear sigma models (GLSMs): (witten, '93) An extremely useful technology, still studied today, which made much progress possible.

(0,2) GLSMs **do** exist, and made many of the computations I'll describe possible.

(Distler, Kachru, `94)

# How to find mirrors? Hori-Vafa construction ((2,2) case):

Briefly, maps all cpx moduli to a single Kahler modulus point on the mirror. (Sends A twist to B twist.) Ex:  $[\mathbf{C}^n / / \mathbf{C}^{\times}]$ Build a LG model with  $W = \exp(-Y_1) + \cdots + \exp(-Y_{n-1}) + q \exp(q_1 Y_1 + \cdots + q_{n-1} Y_{n-1})$  How to find mirrors? Hori-Vafa construction ((2,2) case) -- (0,2) analogue does exist (Adams, Basy, Sethi, hepth/0309226)

Current state of the art (I believe) is that the construction doesn't quite uniquely determine the mirror, instead one must do a bit of work at the end to nail down details.

# How to find mirrors?

Example:

Take  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ with  $\mathcal{E}$  a deformation of the tangent bundle:

ABS predicted ``heterotic quant' cohom':"  $\tilde{X}^2 = q_2$   $X^2 - (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)X\tilde{X} = q_1$ (a def' of the std q.c. ring of P<sup>1</sup>xP<sup>1</sup>) ABS's predictions have since been verified and put on a more solid mathematical footing.

The "heterotic quantum cohomology" rings are a deformation of classical product structures on the sheaf cohomology groups  $H^{\cdot}(X, \Lambda^{\cdot} \mathcal{E}^{\vee})$ 

``quantum sheaf cohomology"

(Combine minimal-area curves & gauge instantons.)

Quantum sheaf cohomology arises from correlation functions in a heterotic generalization of the A model TFT.

Std A twist:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \psi_{-}^{i}(\equiv\chi^{i}) \in \Gamma((\phi^{*}T^{0,1}X)^{\vee}) & \psi_{+}^{i}(\equiv\psi_{z}^{i}) \in \Gamma(K\otimes\phi^{*}T^{1,0}X) \\ \psi_{-}^{\overline{\imath}}(\equiv\psi_{\overline{z}}^{\overline{\imath}}) \in \Gamma(K\otimes\phi^{*}T^{0,1}X) & \psi_{+}^{\overline{\imath}}(\equiv\chi^{\overline{\imath}}) \in \Gamma((\phi^{*}T^{1,0}X)^{\vee}) \end{array}$ 

(0,2) A twist:

 $\lambda_{-}^{a} \in \Gamma((\phi^{*}\overline{\mathcal{E}})^{\vee}) \quad \psi_{+}^{i} \in \Gamma(K \otimes \phi^{*}T^{1,0}X)$  $\lambda_{-}^{\overline{b}} \in \Gamma(K \otimes \phi^{*}\overline{\mathcal{E}}) \quad \psi_{+}^{\overline{i}} \in \Gamma((\phi^{*}T^{1,0}X)^{\vee})$ 

Consistency conditions (0,2) A model:  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  s.t.  $\Lambda^{\operatorname{top}} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \cong K_X$  $ch_2(\mathcal{E}) = ch_2(TX)$ (On (2,2) locus, this is automatic.) There is also a (0,2) B model: (0,2) B model:  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  s.t.  $\Lambda^{\mathrm{top}} \mathcal{E} \cong K_X$  $\operatorname{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_2(\mathrm{TX})$ (On (2,2) locus, reduces to standard  $K_X^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  ) Symmetry: (0,2)  $A(X, \mathcal{E}) = (0,2) B(X, \mathcal{E}^{\vee})$ (modulo regularization issues)

## Back to A twist. Correlation functions in `standard' cases:

#### Std A model:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = \sum_d \int_{\mathcal{M}_d} H^{p_1,q_1}(\mathcal{M}_d) \wedge \cdots \wedge H^{p_m,q_m}(\mathcal{M}_d)$$
$$= \sum_d \int_{\mathcal{M}_d} (\operatorname{top} - \operatorname{form})$$

(0,2) A model:  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{m} \rangle = \sum_{d} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{d}} H^{p_{1}} (\mathcal{M}_{d}, \Lambda^{q_{1}} \mathcal{F}^{\vee}) \wedge \cdots \wedge H^{p_{m}} (\mathcal{M}_{d}, \Lambda^{q_{m}} \mathcal{F}^{\vee})$ where  $\mathcal{F} \equiv R^{0} \pi_{*} (\alpha^{*} \mathcal{E})$ Use  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Lambda^{top} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \cong K_{X} \\ \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_{2}(TX) \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{\operatorname{GRR}}{\Longrightarrow} \Lambda^{top} \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \cong K_{\mathcal{M}}$  If there are `excess' zero modes, must work a little harder:

Std A model:

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = \sum_d \int_{\mathcal{M}_d} H^{p_1, q_1}(\mathcal{M}_d) \wedge \cdots \wedge H^{p_m, q_m}(\mathcal{M}_d) \wedge \operatorname{Eul}(\operatorname{Obs})$  $= \sum_d \int_{\mathcal{M}_d} (\operatorname{top} - \operatorname{form})$ 

(0,2) A model:  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{m} \rangle = \sum_{d} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{d}} H^{p_{1}}(\Lambda^{q_{1}}\mathcal{F}^{\vee}) \wedge \cdots \wedge H^{p_{m}}(\Lambda^{q_{m}}\mathcal{F}^{\vee}) \wedge H^{n}(\Lambda^{n}\mathcal{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Lambda^{n}\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes \Lambda^{n}(\mathrm{Obs})^{\vee})$ Use  $\Lambda^{\mathrm{top}}\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \cong K_{X}$   $\mathrm{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{ch}_{2}(TX)$   $\Big\} \stackrel{\mathrm{GRR}}{\Longrightarrow} \Lambda^{\mathrm{top}}\mathcal{F}^{\vee} \otimes \Lambda^{\mathrm{top}}\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes \Lambda^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Obs})^{\vee} \cong K_{\mathcal{M}}$ Reduces to (2,2) by virtue of Atiyah classes.

In computing ordinary quantum cohomology rings, tech issues such as compactifying moduli spaces of holomorphic maps into a cpx manifold arise.

In the heterotic case, there are also sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  over those moduli spaces, which have to be extended over the compactification, in a way consistent with e.g.  $\Lambda^{\operatorname{top}} \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \cong K_{\mathcal{M}}$ 

But, this can be done....

# Quantum sheaf cohomologyNeed to not only compactify, but also extend induced sheaves, so as to preserve properties eg $\Lambda^{top} \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \cong K_{\mathcal{M}}$

\* If the moduli space admits `universal instanton,' automatic.

\* LSM moduli spaces do not. But, abelian GLSMs naturally provide suitable sheaves over the moduli space regardless. (Expand Fermi fields in zero modes.)

Open problems:

-- What is analogue for nonabelian GLSM's?
 For Grassmannians, flag manifolds,
 w/ (0,2) bundle or homogeneous bundle?

-- What are analogues for Klyachko's T-equivariant bundles on toric varieties?

Quantum sheaf cohomology ABS Example: Take  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ with  $\mathcal{E}$  a deformation of the tangent bundle:  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \epsilon_1 x_1 \\ x_2 & \epsilon_2 x_2 \\ 0 & \widetilde{x_1} \\ 0 & \widetilde{x_2} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1,0)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,1)^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$ 

> Can use methods outlined to verify ABS:  $\widetilde{X}^2 = q_2$   $X^2 - (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) X \widetilde{X} = q_1$

Strictly speaking, all I've outlined is a computation of nonpert' corrections to certain special correlators. -- do the OPE's close into a ring?

Ordinarily argue using (2,2) susy, but only (0,2) here.

It has been shown that CFT + (0,2) is sufficient for the OPE's to close properly, so one does get a ring, at least for def's of tangent bundle, rk < 8.

(Adams, Distler, Ernebjerg, hepth/0506263)

More recent developments -- more complicated examples, etc -- in recent work of Guffin, McOrist, Melnikov, Sethi

(will be reported on in their talks)

Alternative applications:

There exists a rewriting of Witten's twistor string theory in terms of heterotic strings, which uses precisely this technology.

 $X = \mathbf{P}^3, \ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 4}$ 

(Mason, Skinner, 0708.2276)



\* Need Pfaffians for higher-genus computations (all existing computations are genus zero)

\* Then, couple to worldsheet gravity.

This would enable us to truly generalize Gromov-Witten theory. Stability

To get a CFT, the heterotic bundle + connection must satisfy

> DUY:  $g^{i\overline{\jmath}}F_{i\overline{\jmath}} = 0$ equiv'ly, Mumford-Takemoto stability (at least, close to large radius)

-- explicit metric dependence

-- Kahler cone breaks up into subcones

-- D-terms in low energy gauge theory

## Open problems in stability:

-- What are the quantum corrections? Is there an analogue of Douglas's pi-stability ansatz? (Partial results: Anderson, Gray, Lukas, Ovrut, 0905.1748, 0903.5088)

-- How does quantum sheaf cohomology change as cross subcone walls? (``heterotic flop")

## Strominger-Yau-Zaslow

There is, at least very formally, an obvious extension of SYZ to heterotic cases: describe a bundle over torus fibration in terms of flat connection on torus fibers, then, apply heterotic T-duality fiberwise. Open problem: Mark Gross and others have done a lot of work on understanding SYZ in the (2,2) case; can any of it be extended to (0,2)?

#### Another approach to (ordinary) mirrors:

## Lift spaces to (UV) LG models, and then construct mirror symmetry as a duality between LG models.

(P Clarke, 0803.0447)

I'll outline the idea over the next several slides....

## Example of lifting to LG:

LG model on X = Tot(  $\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  ) with W = p  $\pi^*s$ 

> renormalization group flow

string on {s = 0}  $\subset$  B where  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ 

Computational advantages:

For example, consider curve-counting in a deg 5 (quintic) hypersurface in **P**<sup>4</sup> -- need moduli space of curves in quintic, rather complicated

Can replace with LG model on  ${
m Tot}\left({\cal O}(-5) o {f P}^4
ight)$ and here, curve-counting involves moduli spaces of curves on  ${f P}^4$ , much easier

(Kontsevich: early '90s; physical LG realization: ES, Guffin, '08)

Application to mirror symmetry:

Instead of directly dualizing spaces, replace spaces with corresponding LG models, and dualize the LG models.

(P Clarke, '08)

 \* Resulting picture is often easier to understand
 \* Technical advantage: also encapsulates cases in which mirror isn't an ordinary space (but still admits a LG description)

#### There also exist heterotic LG models:

- \* a space X
- \* a holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \to X$ (satisfying same constraints as before) \* some potential-like data:  $E^a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \quad F_a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{\vee})$ such that  $\sum E^a F_a = 0$

 $\boldsymbol{a}$ 

(Recover ordinary LG when  ${\cal E}=TX$  ,  $E^a\equiv 0$  and  $F_i=\partial_i W$  )

Heterotic LG models are related to heterotic strings via renormalization group flow.

Example:

A heterotic LG model on  $X = \operatorname{Tot} \left( \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} B \right)$ with  $\mathcal{E}' = \pi^* \mathcal{F}_2$  &  $F_a \equiv 0, \ E^a \neq 0$ 

> Renormalization group

A heterotic string on B with  $\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{coker} (\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2)$ 

## Open problem:

Can (0,2) mirrors be constructed as a duality between LG models on different spaces, generalizing P Clarke's construction? Something else to ponder.... Heterotic flux compactifications

Following Strominger's ancient paper, one would like to consider heterotic compactifications on complex, **non**-Kahler spaces with trivial canonical bundle.

Many papers have been written by e.g. Becker<sup>2</sup>.

Basic issue: cannot go to large-radius limit, these can only exist for finite radius, where no control over quantum corrections, and math may or may not be valid.

#### Heterotic flux compactifications

Partial progress by A Adams et al -- build using analogues of GLSM's.

ie, have a UV theory can control, and then RG fixes the details appropriately.

Open problems: \* How do (0,2) mirrors work here? \* Could this construction help in building mirrors? Could thinking about fibered WZW models help?

Let P be a principal G bundle over X, with connection A.

Replace the left-movers of ordinary heterotic with WZW model with left-multiplication gauged with A.

- NLSM on X

'Gauge left-multiplication

Could thinking about fibered WZW models help? Result is a fibered current algebra. If at level k, then anomaly cancellation becomes  $k \operatorname{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_2(TX)$ Such constructions needed to realize many  $E_8 \times E_8$ qauge fields.

Open problems: \* How do (0,2) mirrors work here? \* Could this construction help in building mirrors?



## Potential new heterotic CFT's: heterotic strings on gerbes

Prototype:  $\mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{[k,k,\cdots,k]} \quad `` \overline{\mathcal{O}(1/k)''}$ 

understanding of some of the 2d (0,4) theories
 appearing in geometric Langlands program
 genuinely new string compactifications

String duality

#### Open problem:

What are string duals of (0,2) mirrors? Ex: Heterotic – type II exchanges  $\alpha' \leftrightarrow \phi$ so is this some symmetry of D-branes?

## Summary

-- overview of (0,2) mirrors -- numerical evidence -- constructions: Greene-Plesser, Berglund-Hubsch, Batyrev-Borisov, Hori-Vafa -- quantum sheaf cohomology -- the computations -- (0,2) A, B models -- stability -- lifting to LG -- SYZ, heterotic flux compactifications, fibered WZW's, strings on gerbes

