

11.14 a) Express the Lorentz scalars $F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}$, $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}$, and $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ in terms of \vec{E} and \vec{B} . Are there any other invariants quadratic in the field strengths \vec{E} and \vec{B} ?

$$\text{Recall } F^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11.137)$$

$$\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_x & -B_y & -B_z \\ B_x & 0 & E_z & -E_y \\ B_y & -E_z & 0 & E_x \\ B_z & E_y & -E_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.140)$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1) \quad (11.69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} &= F^{\alpha\beta}F^{\gamma\delta}g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} \\ &= -2\vec{E}^2 + 2\vec{B}^2 \\ &= 2(|\vec{B}|^2 - |\vec{E}|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} &= -2\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} - 2\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \\ &= -4\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} &= -2\vec{B}^2 + 2\vec{E}^2 \\ &= 2(\vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Since there are no other quadratic tensor products, there are no other quadratic invariants.

11.14, cont'd

6) Is it possible to have an electromagnetic field that appears as a purely electric field in one inertial frame and as a purely magnetic field in some other inertial frame? What are the criteria imposed on \vec{E}, \vec{B} such that there is an inertial frame in which there is no electric field?

Recall

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{E}' &= \gamma(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E}) \\ \vec{B}' &= \gamma(\vec{B} - \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B})\end{aligned}\quad (11.149)$$

Assume in the unprimed frame, $\vec{B} = 0, \vec{E} \neq 0$.

Then

$$\vec{E}' = \gamma \vec{E} - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E})$$

$$\vec{B}' = -\gamma \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}$$

Assume further that in the primed frame, $\vec{E}' = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma \vec{E} = \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E})$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{E} \parallel \vec{\beta}, \quad |\vec{E}| = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \beta^2 |\vec{E}|$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \beta^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} (1 - \gamma^{-2}) = \frac{\gamma - \gamma^{-1}}{\gamma+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma+1 = \gamma - \gamma^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow 1 = -\gamma^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \underline{\gamma = -1} \text{ is the only solution for finite } \gamma.$$

not possible

11.14) cont'd

Alternate rel'n for first part:

Recall $F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$ is Lorentz invariant.

$$\text{If } \vec{B} = 0, \quad F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = -2\vec{E}^2 < 0$$

$$\text{If } \vec{E} = 0, \quad F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = +2\vec{B}^2 > 0$$

~~Answer~~

If there were such a Lorentz transformation,
 $F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$ would be invariant, but as the signs differ,
 no such Lorentz transformation can exist.

11.14 b), cont'd

What are the criteria to ensure that a frame has no electric field?

$$\text{Solve } 0 = \vec{E}' = \gamma(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E})$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} = -\vec{E} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E})}$$

In terms of ~~some~~ Lorentz scalars,
~~the~~ necessary conditions are that

$$F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} > 0 \quad \text{since} = 2(\vec{B}^2 - \vec{E}^2)$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \text{since} = -4\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$$

11.14, cont'd

c) For macroscopic fields, \vec{E}, \vec{B} form the field tensor F^{ab} and \vec{D}, \vec{H} the tensor G^{ab} . What further invariants can be formed? What are their explicit expressions in terms of the 3-vector fields?

$$G^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D_x & -D_y & -D_z \\ D_x & 0 & -H_z & H_y \\ D_y & H_z & 0 & -H_x \\ D_z & -H_y & H_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abrs} G_{rs} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -H_x & -H_y & -H_z \\ H_x & 0 & D_z & -D_y \\ H_y & -D_z & 0 & D_x \\ H_z & D_y & -D_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G^{ab} G_{ab} = -2\vec{D}^2 + 2\vec{H}^2$$

$$D^{ab} D_{ab} = -2\vec{H}^2 + 2\vec{D}^2$$

$$D^{ab} G_{ab} = -4\vec{D} \cdot \vec{H}$$

$$F^{ab} G_{ab} = -2\vec{E} \cdot \vec{D} + 2\vec{B} \cdot \vec{H}$$

$$\vec{E}^{ab} G_{ab} = -2\vec{B} \cdot \vec{D} - 2\vec{E} \cdot \vec{H}$$

$$F^{ab} D_{ab} = -2\vec{E} \cdot \vec{H} - 2\vec{B} \cdot \vec{D}$$

$$\vec{E}^{ab} D_{ab} = -2\vec{B} \cdot \vec{H} + 2\vec{E} \cdot \vec{D}$$

(a) only

11.18 The electric and magnetic fields of a particle of charge q moving in a straight line with speed $v = \beta c$, given by

$$E_1 = E_1' = -\frac{q\gamma vt}{(b^2 + \gamma^2 v^2 t^2)^{3/2}}$$

$$E_2 = \gamma E_2' = \frac{q\gamma b}{(b^2 + \gamma^2 v^2 t^2)^{3/2}}$$

$$B_3 = \gamma\beta E_2' = \beta E_2, \text{ other components vanishing, as in (11.152),}$$

become more and more concentrated as $\beta \rightarrow 1$. Choose axes s.t. the charge moves along the z axis in pos' direction, passing origin at $t=0$. Let the spatial coordinates of the observation point be (x, y, z) , and define the transverse vector \vec{r}_\perp with components x, y . Consider fields & sources in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$.

a) Show that the fields can be written as

$$\vec{E} = 2q \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct - z), \quad \vec{B} = 2q \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct - z)$$

where \hat{v} is a unit vector in the direction of the particle's velocity.

(Recall, from above (11.17), that in the text's conventions, $x_1 = z, x_2 = x, x_3 = y$.)

$\vec{\beta} = \frac{v}{c} \hat{x}_1$. In the rest frame of the particle,

$$\vec{E}' = q \frac{\vec{r}'}{r'^3}, \quad \vec{B}' = 0$$

In lab-frame, from (11.149),

$$\vec{E} = \gamma \vec{E}' - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \vec{\beta} (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{E}'), \quad \vec{B} = \gamma \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}'$$

11. B a), cont'd

$$x_1' = \gamma(x_1 - \beta x_0) = \gamma(x_1 - vt)$$

$$x_2' = x_2, \quad x_3' = x_3$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{r}' = \vec{r}_\perp + \gamma(x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1$$

$$\vec{E}' = \frac{q (\vec{r}_\perp + \gamma(x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1)}{[r_\perp^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{3/2}}$$

$$\vec{E} = \gamma \vec{E}' - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \underbrace{\left(\frac{v}{c} \hat{x}_1\right)}_{\beta} \frac{q \gamma (x_1 - vt)}{[r_\perp^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{3/2}} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) = \beta \cdot \vec{E}$$

$$= q \gamma [r_\perp^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{-3/2} \left\{ \vec{r}_\perp + \gamma(x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} \underbrace{\beta^2}_{1-\gamma^{-2}} (x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1 \right\}$$

$$= q \gamma [r_\perp^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{-3/2} \left\{ \vec{r}_\perp + \gamma(x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1 - \frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{\gamma + 1} (x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1 \right\}$$

$= \gamma - 1$

$$= q \gamma [r_\perp^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{-3/2} \left\{ \vec{r}_\perp + (x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1 \right\}$$

We need to take the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$ or $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

To that end, we need an alternative representation of the Dirac delta function, which I'll describe next.

11.18 a), cont'd

$$\text{Claim } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{(1+x^2y^2)^{3/2}} = 2\delta(y)$$

Check:

o/ot, note for $y \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{(1+x^2y^2)^{3/2}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{x^3 y^3} = 0$$

but this argument breaks down for $y = 0$.

o/Next, claim

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{x}{(1+x^2y^2)^{3/2}} = 2 \quad \text{for all } x \neq 0.$$

$$\text{Write } y = \frac{1}{x} \tan \theta, \quad \text{so } 1+x^2y^2 = 1+\tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta$$

$$dy = \frac{1}{x} \sec^2 \theta d\theta$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{x}{(1+x^2y^2)^{3/2}} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{x} \sec^2 \theta d\theta \frac{x}{\sec^3 \theta}$$

$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \theta d\theta = \sin \theta \Big|_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} = 2$$

Since the limit vanishes for $y \neq 0$,
& the integral = 2 independent of x ,
we conclude

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{(1+x^2y^2)^{3/2}} = 2\delta(y)$$

11.18 a), cont'd

Applying this result, we see

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma}{[r_{\perp}^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{r_{\perp}^3} \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2 \frac{(x_1 - vt)^2}{r_{\perp}^2})^{3/2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r_{\perp}^3} (2) \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - vt}{r_{\perp}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{r_{\perp}^2} \delta(x_1 - vt)$$

& so

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \vec{E} = \frac{2q}{r_{\perp}^2} \delta(x_1 - vt) (\vec{r}_{\perp} + (x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1)$$

$$= 2q \frac{\vec{r}_{\perp}}{r_{\perp}^2} \delta(x_1 - vt)$$

$$= 2q \frac{\vec{r}_{\perp}}{r_{\perp}^2} \delta(x_1 - ct)$$

since $v \rightarrow c$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$

11.18 a), cont'd

$$\vec{B} = \gamma \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}'$$

$$= \gamma \vec{\beta} \times \frac{\gamma (\vec{r}_\perp + \gamma(x_1 - vt) \hat{x}_1)}{[r_\perp^2 + \gamma^2(x_1 - vt)^2]^{3/2}}$$

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \vec{B} = \gamma \vec{\beta} \times \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} (2) \delta(x_1 - vt)$$

$$= 2q \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(x_1 - vt)$$

$$\approx \frac{2q}{c} \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(x_1 - ct)$$

$$\vec{\beta} = \hat{v} \text{ since } |v| \approx c \text{ in the limit}$$

12.2 a) Show from Hamilton's principle that Lagrangians that differ only by a total time derivative \dot{q} are equivalent in the sense that they yield the same Euler-Lagrange equations of motion.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Suppose } L_2 &= L_1 + \frac{d}{dt} f(q_i(t), t) \\ &= \cancel{L_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i} + \dot{f} \\ &= L_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial L_2}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial t}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \dot{q}_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}$$

Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial q_i} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \dot{q}_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \right] - \left[\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial t} \right] \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q_i} \right)}_{=0} + \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \dot{q}_j} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial t} - \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \dot{q}_j} - \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial t}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0$$

Hence L_1 obeys Euler-Lagrange

$\Leftrightarrow L_2$ obeys Euler-Lagrange

12.2, cont'd

b) Show explicitly that the gauge transformation $A^\alpha \mapsto A^\alpha + \partial^\alpha \Lambda$ of the potential in the charged-particle Lagrangian

$$L = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}} - \frac{e}{\gamma c} u_\alpha A^\alpha$$

merely generates another equivalent Lagrangian.

After gauge transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} L \mapsto L' &= -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}} - \frac{e}{\gamma c} u_\alpha A^\alpha - \frac{e}{\gamma c} u_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Lambda \\ &= L - \frac{e}{\gamma c} u_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\delta L = -\frac{e}{\gamma c} u_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Lambda$$

$$u_\alpha = (\gamma c, \gamma \vec{u})$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta L = -\frac{e}{\gamma c} \left(\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \gamma \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \right) \Lambda$$

$$\text{since } \Lambda = \Lambda(\vec{x}(t), t),$$

$$\delta L = -\frac{e}{c} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \Lambda$$

$$= -\frac{e}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \Lambda$$

∴ the result then follows from part (a).

(a) only

12.3 A particle with mass m and charge e moves in a uniform static electric field \vec{E}_0 .

a) Solve for the velocity and position of the particle as explicit functions of time, assuming that the initial velocity \vec{v}_0 was perpendicular to the electric field.

$$\frac{dU^\alpha}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{mc} F^{\alpha\beta} U_\beta \quad (12.3)$$

For a constant electric field \vec{E}_0 with $\vec{B}=0$,

this becomes

$$\frac{dU^0}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{mc} F^{0i} U_i = \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u} \quad \text{since } F^{0i} = -E_i, U_i = -(\vec{u})_i$$

$$\frac{d\vec{u}^i}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{mc} F^{i0} U_0 \Rightarrow \frac{d\vec{u}}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 U^0$$

where $U^\alpha = (U^0, \vec{u})$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 U^0}{d\tau^2} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u} \right) = \left(\frac{e}{mc} \right)^2 \vec{E}_0^2 U^0$$

$$\Rightarrow U^0 = A \exp\left(\frac{eE_0}{mc} \tau\right) + B \exp\left(-\frac{eE_0}{mc} \tau\right)$$

for constants A, B .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d\vec{u}}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 U^0 = \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 \left(A e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc} \tau} + B e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc} \tau} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{u} = \frac{\vec{E}_0}{E_0} \left(A e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc} \tau} - B e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc} \tau} \right) + \vec{u}_0$$

(cont'd)

12.3 (a), cont'd

Now in integrating we have introduced integration constant, we need to check that

$$\frac{dU^0}{dz} = \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{eE_0}{mc} \left(A e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} - B e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} \right) = \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 \cdot \hat{E}_0 \left(A e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} - B e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} \right) + \frac{e}{mc} \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u}_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u}_0 = 0}$$

Let's assume that $\{z=0\}$ corresponds to $\{t=0\}$.

We're told that the initial velocity is perpendicular to the electric field

$$\Rightarrow \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u}(z=0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{E}_0 \cdot \hat{E}_0 (A - B) + \underbrace{\vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{u}_0}_{=0} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{A = B}$$

hence $U^0 = A \left(e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} + e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} \right) = 2A \cosh\left(\frac{eE_0}{mc} z\right)$

$$\vec{u} = \hat{E}_0 A \left(e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} - e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc} z} \right) + \vec{u}_0$$

$$= 2A \hat{E}_0 \sinh\left(\frac{eE_0}{mc} z\right) + \vec{u}_0$$

12.3 (a), cont'd

Another requirement: $u_x u^x = c^2$

$$\Rightarrow (u^0)^2 - \bar{u}^2 = c^2$$

$$\Rightarrow A^2 \left(e^{2\frac{eE_0}{mc}z} + e^{-2\frac{eE_0}{mc}z} + 2 \right) - A^2 \left(e^{2\frac{eE_0}{mc}z} + e^{-2\frac{eE_0}{mc}z} - 2 \right) + 2\bar{u}_0 \cdot \hat{E}_0 A \left(e^{\frac{eE_0}{mc}z} - e^{-\frac{eE_0}{mc}z} \right) + \bar{u}_0^2$$

$$= 4A^2 + \bar{u}_0^2$$

$$\text{must} = c^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2A = c \left(1 + \frac{u_0^2}{c^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Convert $\bar{u}(z=0)$ to an ordinary velocity \bar{v}_0 :

$$\bar{u}(z=0) = \gamma_0 \bar{v}_0 \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_0^2 = (1 - v_0^2/c^2)^{-1}$$

Putting this together,

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 &= \gamma_0 c \cosh\left(\frac{eE_0}{mc}z\right) \\ \bar{u} &= \gamma_0 c \hat{E}_0 \sinh\left(\frac{eE_0}{mc}z\right) + \gamma_0 \bar{v}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Now find position...

12.3 (a), cont'd

Find position ...

$$ct = x^0 = \int_0^z u^0 dz' = \gamma_0 c \left(\frac{mc}{eE_0} \right) \sinh \left(\frac{eE_0}{mc} z \right)$$

hence $z = \frac{mc}{eE_0} \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 &= \gamma_0 c \cosh \left(\operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right) \right) \\ &= \gamma_0 c \left(1 + \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{u^0}{c} = \gamma_0 \left(1 + \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\bar{u} = \gamma_0 \left\{ \hat{E}_0 \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right) + \bar{v}_0 \right\} = \gamma_0 \left[\frac{e\bar{E}_0 t}{m\gamma_0} + \bar{v}_0 \right]$$

$$\bar{v}(t) = \frac{\bar{u}}{\gamma} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{e\bar{E}_0 t}{m\gamma_0} + \bar{v}_0 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t) &= \int_0^t \bar{v}(t') dt' = \bar{v}_0 \left(\frac{\gamma_0 mc}{eE_0} \right) \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{e\bar{E}_0}{m\gamma_0} \left[\left(\frac{\gamma_0 mc}{eE_0} \right)^2 \right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{eE_0 t}{\gamma_0 mc} \right)^2 \right]^{+1/2} + \bar{x}_0 \end{aligned}$$

~~scribble~~