

(A-W 5.2.6(a-c))

Test for convergence

a)  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\ln n)^{-1}$

For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\ln n \leq n \Rightarrow (\ln n)^{-1} \geq n^{-1}$

Apply comparison test:  $\sum n^{-1}$  diverges, hence  $\sum (\ln n)^{-1}$  diverges.

b)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{10^n}$

Apply ratio test:

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(n+1)!}{10^{n+1}} \frac{10^n}{n!} = \frac{n+1}{10}$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \rightarrow \infty > 1$  hence diverges

c)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n(2n+1)}$

Comparison test:  $\frac{1}{2n(2n+1)} \leq \frac{1}{(2n)^2} = \frac{1}{4n^2}$

$\sum \frac{1}{4n^2}$  converges, so this series must also converge

~~$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n(2n+1)}$~~

(A-W 5.2.13)

(Olbers' paradox) Assume a static universe in which the stars are uniformly distributed, divide all space into shells of constant thickness; the stars in any one shell by themselves subtend a solid angle of  $\omega_0$ . Allowing for the blocking out of distant stars by nearer stars, show that the total net solid angle subtended by all stars, shells extending to infinity, is exactly  $4\pi$ . (Therefore the night sky should be ablaze with light.)

1<sup>st</sup> shell: stars cover  $\omega_0$

2<sup>nd</sup> shell: stars cover an additional  $\omega_0 - \left(\frac{\omega_0}{4\pi}\right)\omega_0$ ,  
subtracting out the contribution of those covered by nearer stars

3<sup>rd</sup> shell: stars cover an additional  $\omega_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0}{4\pi}\right)^2$ ,  
subtracting out the contribution of those covered by nearer stars

...

$$\text{Total solid angle subtended} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0}{4\pi}\right)^n$$

→ geometric series

$$\rightarrow \text{sums to } \frac{\omega_0}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0}{4\pi}\right)} = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_0/4\pi} = \underline{4\pi} \quad \checkmark$$

(AW 5.4.3a)

Show that 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\zeta(n) - 1] = 1$$

where  $\zeta(n)$  is the Riemann zeta function.

$$\zeta(n) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} p^{-n} = 1 + 2^{-n} + 3^{-n} + \dots$$

$$\zeta(n) - 1 = 2^{-n} + 3^{-n} + \dots = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} p^{-n}$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\zeta(n) - 1] = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} p^{-n} = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p^{-n} \right)$$
  
geometric series

Define  $A = \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^3} + \dots$

then  $\frac{1}{p}A = \frac{1}{p^3} + \frac{1}{p^4} + \dots = A - \frac{1}{p^2}$

$$\Rightarrow A = \frac{1/p^2}{1 - 1/p} = \frac{p/p^2}{p-1} = \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\zeta(n) - 1] = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{p} \right] \rightarrow \text{telescoping series}$$

$$= \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \right] + \dots$$
  
$$= 1$$

(A-W 5.6.15)

The displacement  $x$  of a particle of rest mass  $m_0$ , resulting from a constant force  $m_0 g$  along the  $x$  axis, is

$$x = \frac{c^2}{g} \left\{ \left[ 1 + \left( g \frac{t}{c} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} - 1 \right\}$$

including relativistic effects. Find the displacement  $x$  as a power series in time  $t$ . Compare with the classical result,  $x = \frac{1}{2} g t^2$ ,

$$(1+y^2)^{1/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{n!(m-n)!} (y^2)^n \quad \text{(binomial theorem, Taylor series)}$$

where  $m = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\& \frac{(\frac{1}{2})!}{(\frac{1}{2}-n)!} = (\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2}-1)\dots(\frac{1}{2}-n+1)$$

→ you can think of this as notation,  
or in terms of Gamma functions

$$(1+y^2)^{1/2} - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{n!(m-n)!} (y^2)^n = \frac{1}{2} y^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} (y^2)^2 + \frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6} (y^2)^3 + \dots$$

so

$$x = \frac{c^2}{g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{gt}{c} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{gt}{c} \right)^4 + \frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6} \left( \frac{gt}{c} \right)^6 + \dots \right]$$

If we truncate to the first term, then

$$x \approx \frac{c^2}{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{g^2 t^2}{c^2} = \frac{1}{2} g t^2, \quad \text{the classical result.}$$

(A-W 6.2.1)

The functions  $u(x,y)$ ,  $v(x,y)$  are the real, imaginary parts of an analytic function  $w(z)$ .

a) Assuming the required derivatives exist, show that

$$\nabla^2 u = \nabla^2 v = 0.$$

Solutions of Laplace's eq'n such as  $u(x,y)$ ,  $v(x,y)$  are called harmonic functions.

We know  $u_x = v_y$ ,  $u_y = -v_x$

$$u_{xx} = v_{yx} = v_{xy} = -u_{yy} \Rightarrow \underline{u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0, \nabla^2 u = 0}$$

$$v_{xx} = -u_{yx} = -u_{xy} = -v_{yy} \Rightarrow \underline{v_{xx} + v_{yy} = 0, \nabla^2 v = 0}$$

b) Show that

$$u_x u_y + v_x v_y = 0$$

~~with good a geometrical interpretation~~

---

Cauchy-Riemann:  $u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x$

$$\Rightarrow u_x u_y = (v_y)(-v_x)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_x u_y + v_x v_y = 0$$

(A-W) 6.2.3

Having shown that the real part  $u(x, y)$  & the imaginary part  $v(x, y)$  of an analytic function  $w(z)$  each satisfy Laplace's equ'n, show that  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$  cannot both have either a maximum or a minimum in the interior of any region in which  $w(z)$  is analytic.

---

Suppose at some point  $z_0$ ,

$$u(z_0)_x = u(z_0)_y = v(z_0)_x = v(z_0)_y = 0$$

Define  $D(u) = \det \begin{bmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{yx} & u_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D(v) = \det \begin{bmatrix} v_{xx} & v_{xy} \\ v_{yx} & v_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$

$D > 0 \Rightarrow$  local maximum or minimum

$D < 0 \Rightarrow$  saddle point

$D = 0 \Rightarrow$  inconclusive

$$D(u) = u_{xx} u_{yy} - u_{xy} u_{yx}$$

but thanks to Cauchy-Riemann,  $u_{xx} = -u_{yy}$ ,

$$\Rightarrow D(u) = -(u_{xx})^2 - (v_{yy})^2 \quad u_{xy} = v_{yx}$$

Similarly,  $D(v) = -(v_{xx})^2 - (u_{yy})^2$

Both  $D \leq 0$ , so, no maxima or minima

Show that  $e^{iz} = \cos z + i \sin z$  for every complex number  $z$ .

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iz} &= 1 + (iz) + \frac{(iz)^2}{2!} + \frac{(iz)^3}{3!} + \dots \\ &= \left[ 1 - \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots \right] + i \left[ z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots \right] \\ &= \cos z + i \sin z \end{aligned}$$



For  $z = x + iy$ , show that  $|\sin z| \geq |\sin x|$ .

In class, we showed

$$|\sin z|^2 = \sin^2 x + \sinh^2 y$$

$$\geq \sin^2 x = |\sin x|^2$$

Result follows.

Find all roots of the equation  $\cos z = 2$ .

Recall from class that

$$\cos z = \cos x \cosh y - i \sin x \sinh y$$

$$\text{so } \cos z = 2 \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \cos x \cosh y &= 2 \\ \sin x \sinh y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sin x \sinh y = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0 \text{ or } \sin x = 0$$

But if  $y = 0$ , then  $\cos x \cosh y = 2$  has no sol'n.

$$\Rightarrow \sin x = 0 \Rightarrow x = n\pi \text{ for } n \text{ an integer.}$$

$$\cos(n\pi) = (-1)^n$$

$$\text{so } \cosh y = 2(-1)^n$$

If  $n$  is odd, no solutions.

$\Rightarrow$  Solutions of  $\cos z = 2$  are

$$z = 2n\pi + i \cosh^{-1}(2)$$

Can push a bit further: compute  $\cosh^{-1}(2)$ .

$$\text{Find } y \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{2}(e^y + e^{-y}) = 2 \Rightarrow e^y + e^{-y} = 4$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{2y} + 1 = 4e^y$$

$$\Rightarrow e^y = \frac{1}{2}(4 \pm \sqrt{16-4})$$

$$= 2 \pm \sqrt{3}$$

$$\text{so } y = \ln(2 \pm \sqrt{3})$$

$$\text{Note } \frac{1}{2-\sqrt{3}} \frac{2+\sqrt{3}}{2+\sqrt{3}} = \frac{2+\sqrt{3}}{4-3} = 2+\sqrt{3} \Rightarrow \ln(2-\sqrt{3}) = -\ln(2+\sqrt{3})$$

$$\text{so } y = \pm \ln(2+\sqrt{3})$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{z = 2n\pi \pm i \ln(2+\sqrt{3})}$$

For a complex number  $z$ , define

$$\sinh z = \frac{1}{2}(e^z - e^{-z}), \quad \cosh z = \frac{1}{2}(e^z + e^{-z})$$

Show that  $\sinh 2z = 2 \sinh z \cosh z$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sinh z \cosh z &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(e^z - e^{-z}) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(e^z + e^{-z}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(e^{2z} - e^{-2z}) \\ &= \sinh 2z \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

For a complex number  $z$ ,  
Show that

$$-i \sinh(iz) = \sin z, \quad \cosh(iz) = \cos z$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh(iz) &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{iz} - e^{-iz}) \\ &= i \left(\frac{1}{2i}\right)(e^{iz} - e^{-iz}) = i \sin z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh(iz) &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{iz} + e^{-iz}) \\ &= \cos z \end{aligned}$$

For  $z = x + iy$ ,

show that

$$\sinh(z_1 + z_2) = \sinh z_1 \cosh z_2 + \cosh z_1 \sinh z_2$$

$$\cosh(z_1 + z_2) = \cosh z_1 \cosh z_2 + \sinh z_1 \sinh z_2$$

---

$$\sinh z_1 \cosh z_2 + \cosh z_1 \sinh z_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (e^{z_1} - e^{-z_1}) \left( \frac{1}{2} (e^{z_2} + e^{-z_2}) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} (e^{z_1} + e^{-z_1}) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} (e^{z_2} - e^{-z_2}) \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) (e^{z_1 + z_2} - e^{-(z_1 + z_2)} - e^{z_1 - z_2} + e^{z_1 - z_2} + e^{z_1 + z_2} - e^{-(z_1 + z_2)} + e^{z_1 - z_2} - e^{z_1 - z_2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (e^{z_1 + z_2} - e^{-(z_1 + z_2)}) = \sinh(z_1 + z_2) \checkmark$$

---

$$\cosh z_1 \cosh z_2 + \sinh z_1 \sinh z_2$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) (e^{z_1} + e^{-z_1}) (e^{z_2} + e^{-z_2}) + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) (e^{z_1} - e^{-z_1}) (e^{z_2} - e^{-z_2})$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \left[ e^{z_1 + z_2} + e^{-(z_1 + z_2)} + e^{z_1 - z_2} + e^{z_1 - z_2} + e^{z_1 + z_2} + e^{-(z_1 + z_2)} - e^{z_1 - z_2} - e^{z_1 - z_2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [e^{z_1 + z_2} + e^{-(z_1 + z_2)}] = \cosh(z_1 + z_2) \checkmark$$

For  $z = x + iy$ ,  
show that

$$\begin{aligned}\sinh z &= \sinh x \cosh y + i \cosh x \sinh y \\ \cosh z &= \cosh x \cosh y + i \sinh x \sinh y\end{aligned}$$

---

Recall  $\sinh(z_1 + z_2) = \sinh z_1 \cosh z_2 + \cosh z_1 \sinh z_2$

Take  $z_1 = x$ ,  $z_2 = iy$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \sinh z &= \sinh x \cosh(iy) + \cosh x \sinh(iy) \\ &= \sinh x \cosh y + i \cosh x \sinh y \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

Recall  $\cosh(z_1 + z_2) = \cosh z_1 \cosh z_2 + \sinh z_1 \sinh z_2$

Take  $z_1 = x$ ,  $z_2 = iy$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \cosh z &= \cosh x \cosh(iy) + \sinh x \sinh(iy) \\ &= \cosh x \cosh y + i \sinh x \sinh y \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

For  $z = x + iy$ ,  
show that

$$|\sinh z|^2 = \sinh^2 x + \sin^2 y$$

$$|\cosh z|^2 = \sinh^2 x + \cos^2 y$$

---

Recall  $\sinh z = \sinh x \cos y + i \cosh x \sin y$

$$|\sinh z|^2 = \sinh^2 x \cos^2 y + \cosh^2 x \sin^2 y$$

$$= \cancel{\sinh^2 x (1 - \sin^2 y)}$$

$$= \sinh^2 x \cos^2 y + (1 + \sinh^2 x) \sin^2 y$$

$$= \sinh^2 x (\cos^2 y + \sin^2 y) + \sin^2 y$$

$$= \sinh^2 x + \sin^2 y \quad \checkmark$$

Recall  $\cosh z = \cosh x \cos y + i \sinh x \sin y$

$$|\cosh z|^2 = \cosh^2 x \cos^2 y + \sinh^2 x \sin^2 y$$

$$= (1 + \sinh^2 x) \cos^2 y + \sinh^2 x \sin^2 y$$

$$= \sinh^2 x (\cos^2 y + \sin^2 y) + \cos^2 y$$

$$= \sinh^2 x + \cos^2 y \quad \checkmark$$

# Meromorphic continuation of $f_2(z)$

1. Show that the meromorphic function

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} \quad (z \neq \pm i)$$

is the analytic continuation of the function

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^{2n} \quad (|z| < 1)$$

into the domain consisting of all points in the  $z$  plane except  $z = \pm i$ .

$f_1$  is a geometric series, so sum it.

$$\text{Define } A_N = \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n z^{2n} = 1 + (-z^2) + (-z^2)^2 + \dots + (-z^2)^N$$

$$(-z^2)A_N = A_N - 1 + (-z^2)^{N+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_N = \frac{1 - (-z^2)^{N+1}}{1 + z^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_N = \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \quad \text{for } |z| < 1 \text{ where the geometric series converges.}$$

Since  $f_1 = f_2$  on  $\{|z| < 1\}$ , &  $f_2$  is defined outside of  $\{|z| < 1\}$ , we see that  $f_2$  is the analytic continuation of  $f_1$ .



2. Show that the function  $f_2(z) = z^{-2}$  ( $z \neq 0$ ) is the analytic continuation of the function

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(z+1)^n \quad (|z+1| < 1)$$

into the domain consisting of all points in the  $z$  plane except  $z=0$ .

Begin with the geometric series

$$A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z+1)^{n+1} = (z+1) + (z+1)^2 + \dots$$

Sum it:

$$\text{Define } A_N = \sum_{n=0}^N (z+1)^{n+1} = (z+1) + \dots + (z+1)^{N+1}$$

$$(z+1)A_N = A_N - (z+1) + (z+1)^{N+2}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_N = \frac{(z+1) - (z+1)^{N+2}}{1 - (z+1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_N = \frac{z+1}{-z} = -1 - \frac{1}{z} \quad \text{for } |z+1| < 1$$

Since  $A(z)$  converges for  $|z+1| < 1$ ,  
on that same domain we can differentiate term-by-term:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(z+1)^n = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A(z) = +\frac{1}{z^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(z+1)^n = \frac{1}{z^2} \quad \text{on } |z+1| < 1$$

Since  $f_1 = f_2$  on  $\{|z+1| < 1\}$  &  $f_2$  is defined outside of that region,

$f_2$  is the analytic continuation of  $f_1$ .

3. Find the analytic continuation of the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-zt} dt \quad (\operatorname{Re} z > 0)$$

into the domain consisting of all points in the  $z$  plane except the origin.

---

First, note  $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} dt = \frac{1}{z}$  for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ .

In the region of convergence,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} dt = \int_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} e^{-zt} \right) dt = \int_0^{\infty} (-t) e^{-zt} dt$$

$$\text{also} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) = -\frac{1}{z^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-zt} dt = \frac{1}{z^2} \quad \text{on } \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$$

Since  $\frac{1}{z^2}$  is well-defined for all nonzero  $z$ ,

& it matches  $\int_0^{\infty} t e^{-zt} dt$  on  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ ,

we see  $\frac{1}{z^2}$  is the analytic continuation.

4. Show that the function  $(z^2+1)^{-1}$  is the analytic continuation of the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} \sin t \, dt \quad (\operatorname{Re} z > 0)$$

into the domain consisting of all points in the  $z$  plane except  $z = \pm i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} \sin t \, dt &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} \left[ \frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i} \right] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_0^{\infty} \left[ e^{t(-z+i)} - e^{t(-z-i)} \right] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \left[ \frac{1}{-z+i} (-) - \frac{1}{-z-i} (-) \right] = -\frac{1}{2i} \left[ \frac{1}{-z+i} + \frac{1}{z+i} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2i} \left[ \frac{(z+i) + (-z+i)}{(-z+i)(z+i)} \right] = -\frac{1}{2i} \left[ \frac{2i}{-1-z^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1+z^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f(z) = (1+z^2)^{-1}$  on  $\{\operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ ,

so we see that  $(1+z^2)^{-1}$  is the analytic continuation of  $f(z)$  to most of the rest of the complex plane.

1. Rodrigues' formula for the Legendre polynomials  $P_n(z)$  says that  
(20 pts) 
$$P_n(z) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n (z^2 - 1)^n \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

a) Show that the Legendre polynomials can also be expressed as

$$P_n(z) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \pi i} \oint_C \frac{(s^2 - 1)^n}{(s - z)^{n+1}} ds \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This is known as the Pochhammer integral.

First, recall  $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(s)}{(s - z)^{n+1}} ds$  (AW (6.47))

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2^n n!} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n (z^2 - 1)^n = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{(s^2 - 1)^n}{(s - z)^{n+1}} ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \pi i} \oint \frac{(s^2 - 1)^n}{(s - z)^{n+1}} ds$$

b) Show that  $P_n(1) = 1$  and  $P_n(-1) = (-1)^n$  for all  $n$ , using the Schaeffli integral representation of  $P_n(z)$ .

First, note  $(s^2 - 1)^n = (s+1)^n (s-1)^n$

So,  
$$P_n(1) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \pi i} \oint \frac{(s+1)^n (s-1)^n}{(s-1)^{n+1}} ds = \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \pi i} \oint \frac{(s+1)^n}{s-1} ds$$

Recall  $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(s)}{s-z} ds$

$$\Rightarrow P_n(1) = \frac{1}{2^n} \left[ (s+1)^n \Big|_{s=1} \right] = \frac{1}{2^n} 2^n = \underline{1} \quad \checkmark$$

Similarly,

$$P_n(-1) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \pi i} \oint \frac{(s+1)^n (s-1)^n}{(s+1)^{n+1}} ds = \frac{1}{2^{n+1} \pi i} \oint \frac{(s-1)^n}{s+1} ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \left[ (s-1)^n \Big|_{s=-1} \right] = \frac{1}{2^n} (-2)^n = \underline{(-1)^n} \quad \checkmark$$



## Riemann surfaces

3. Describe the curve, on a Riemann surface for  $z^{1/2}$ , whose image is the entire circle  $|w|=1$  under the transformation  $w = z^{1/2}$ .
- 

The curve ~~lives~~ lies on  $|z|=1$ .

It starts on the first sheet at  $\arg z = 0$ , revolves  $2\pi$  around origin, goes onto the second sheet, and revolves  $2\pi$  around the origin before returning to its starting position.

If the curve only lied on one sheet, then its image on the  $w$  plane would only be a semicircle, not a full circle.