High-precision gigahertz-to-terahertz spectroscopy of aqueous salt solutions as a probe of the femtosecond-to-picosecond dynamics of liquid water
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Because it is sensitive to fluctuations occurring over femtoseconds to picoseconds, gigahertz-to-terahertz dielectric relaxation spectroscopy can provide a valuable window into water’s most rapid intermolecular motions. In response, we have built a vector network analyzer dielectric spectrometer capable of measuring absorbance and index of refraction in this frequency regime with unprecedented precision. Using this to determine the complex dielectric response of water and aqueous salt solutions from 5.9 GHz to 1.12 THz (which we provide in the supplementary material), we have obtained strong new constraints on theories of water’s collective dynamics. For example, while the salt-dependencies we observe for water’s two slower relaxations (8 and 1 ps) are easily reconciled with suggestions that they arise due to rotations of fully and partially hydrogen bonded molecules, respectively, the salt-dependence of the fastest relaxation (180 fs) appears difficult to reconcile with its prior assignment to liberations of single hydrogen bonds.

INTRODUCTION

Water is undoubtedly the most widely studied chemical system, with thousands of experimental and theoretical characterizations reported to date. Detailed understanding of its collective molecular dynamics nevertheless remains an important outstanding problem in the physical and biological sciences. The experimental literature describing water’s femto-to-picosecond dielectric relaxations, for example, is often contradictory, with estimates for the timescale of the more rapid of these motions tending to cluster around the mutually exclusive values of 100 fs and 1 ps (Table I). This poor agreement, in turn, renders it difficult to distinguish between competing theoretical models of water’s dynamical behavior, limiting our understanding of key aspects of this important and ubiquitous molecule.

Because it can be used to monitor dipole fluctuations occurring over femtoseconds to picoseconds, gigahertz-to-terahertz dielectric relaxation spectroscopy could provide a valuable experimental window into the molecular-scale dynamics of water and aqueous solutions. Unlike infrared and Raman spectroscopies, for example, which are sensitive to the femtosecond-scale intramolecular dynamics (i.e., bond vibrations), gigahertz-to-terahertz regime is sensitive to its picosecond-scale intermolecular dynamics (i.e., molecular motions involving hydrogen bond breaking). Unfortunately, however, daunting technical limitations, including the extremely strong absorbance of polar liquids and the often severe interference artifacts that occur at these wavelengths, have reduced the precision of prior spectroscopic studies (particularly at the upper end of this frequency range), limiting our ability to characterize any but the slowest and most strongly absorbing of water’s dynamic modes. As recently as 2011, for example, Zasetsky has stated that the “available experimental data in the wave number range of 1–100 cm⁻¹ [30 GHz–3 THz] are limited, [and] the accuracy of these data is insufficient for an accurate characterization of fast processes.”

In response to these observations, we have built a vector network analyzer-based dielectric spectrometer capable of measuring the absorbance and index of refraction of water and aqueous solutions over the frequency range 5.9 GHz–1.12 THz (0.27–51 mm or 0.2–37.4 cm⁻¹) with unprecedented precision, resolution, and dynamic range. This spectrometer, which is based on a vector network analyzer and frequency extension modules built by Virginia Diode, Inc., achieves sub-100 Hz spectral resolution and a detection dynamic range spanning more than 15 orders of magnitude. Integrating the spectrometer with an automated, variable path length cell, which allows for high-precision measurement of how transmitted intensity and phase change with changing path length, we achieve precision of better than 0.2% in the measurement of both the real and imaginary components $\varepsilon'(\nu)$ and $\varepsilon''(\nu)$ of the dielectric relaxation of water and aqueous solutions over the
frequency range most relevant to its molecular-scale motions. The precision, spectral resolution, and broad frequency range of these measurements render them a unique asset to test theoretical models of water’s dynamics over femtosecond to picosecond time scales.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have measured the absorbance and phase shift of water and aqueous salt solutions over the three order of magnitude range 5.9 GHz–1.12 THz as functions of path length, $d$, at 25.00 (±0.02) °C. In brief, our experimental setup consists of a variable-thickness, temperature controlled sample cell with which we can accurately measure changes in transmitted power as a function of changes in path length. Fitting this data to Beer’s law, $I(d) = I_0 \exp(-\alpha_{sol}d)$, with $I_0$ corresponding to the incident intensity, provides a precise (standard error of the mean of replicate measurements typically <0.2%) measurement of the absorption coefficient of a sample, $\alpha_{sol}$ (Fig. 1), without the need for precise knowledge of the sample’s absolute absorbance or absolute path length. In parallel, we also fit the observed phase shift as a linear function of path length to define $n_{sol}$, the refractive index of the sample (Fig. 1, inset). Both properties of these samples are strong functions of frequency, monotonically increasing and decreasing, respectively, with rising frequency over this entire spectral range.

Knowledge of the absorbance and refractive index of our sample allow us to compute its complex dielectric response

$$\epsilon'_{sol}(\nu) = n^2(\nu) - k^2(\nu) = n^2(\nu) - (c\alpha(\nu)/4\pi\nu)^2,$$

$$\epsilon''_{sol}(\nu) = 2n(\nu) \cdot k(\nu),$$

where $\nu$ is frequency, $n$ is the refractive index of the solution, and $k$ is the extinction coefficient of the solution, which is related to the absorption coefficient, $\alpha$, by $\kappa = c\alpha/(4\pi\nu)$ with $c$ being the speed of light. From this, we can calculate the complex dielectric function, $\epsilon''_{sol}$, of the solution, which in turn provides a complete description of the interaction of the solute with the incoming electromagnetic wave.

The complex dielectric function, $\epsilon'(\nu) = \epsilon'(\nu) - ie''(\nu)$, of pure polar liquids can be modeled as a sum of $n$ Debye relaxation terms,

$$\epsilon'(\nu) = \epsilon_{\infty} + \frac{(\epsilon_{\infty} - \epsilon_1)}{1 + i2\pi\nu\tau_1} + \frac{(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)}{1 + i2\pi\nu\tau_2} + \cdots + \frac{(\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_{\infty})}{1 + i2\pi\nu\tau_n},$$

$$e'(\nu) = \epsilon_{\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta \epsilon_j}{1 + i2\pi\nu\tau_j} = \epsilon_{\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta \epsilon_j}{1 + i2\pi\nu\tau_j},$$

where $\epsilon_{\infty}$ is the frequency-independent component, $\epsilon_j$ is the $j$th relaxation frequency, $\tau_j$ is the time constant for the $j$th relaxation process, and $\Delta \epsilon_j$ is the difference in the dielectric constant at the resonant frequency. The Debye relaxation function is a simple sum of exponential terms that accurately describe the relaxation behavior of a wide range of materials.

![Graph](image-url)
where \( \varepsilon_{j-1} \) and \( \varepsilon_j \) are the values of the dielectric constant at the beginning and at the end of the \( j \)th dispersion region, respectively, \( \tau_j \) is the relaxation time of the \( j \)th Debye process, and \( \nu \) is the frequency of the incoming radiation. For consistency with the nomenclature in the prior literature, we use here \( \tau_D \) to denote \( \tau_1 \) (the first Debye process). \( \Delta \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j-1} - \varepsilon_j \) is the weighted contribution of each Debye process to the total relaxation. With \( j = n, \varepsilon_{j=n} = \varepsilon_\infty \) captures the contributions to the dielectric function from modes at frequencies much greater than our experimental range (including previously reported modes at 5 and 15 THz; Ref. 42) and thus reflects contributions from molecular oscillation dynamics. With \( j = 1, \varepsilon_{j=1} = \varepsilon_1 \) is equivalent to \( \varepsilon_\infty \), the static (or direct current) dielectric constant, which we have assigned a value of 78.38 for pure water at 25 \( ^\circ \)C (from previous studies).6,30,44 Given this, the real (or dispersive) \( \varepsilon'(\nu) \) and imaginary (or lossy) \( \varepsilon''(\nu) \) parts of the complex permittivity can be derived from Eq. (2),

\[
\varepsilon'(\nu) = \varepsilon_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_j}{1 + (2\pi \nu \tau_j)^2},
\]

\[
\varepsilon''(\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_j 2\pi \nu \tau_j}{1 + (2\pi \nu \tau_j)^2}.
\]

Using this approach, we have determined the real and imaginary parts of the complex permittivity of liquid water over the gigahertz to terahertz regime with unprecedented resolution and precision (Fig. 2). As a resource for the community of researchers investigating the intermolecular dynamics of water, we provide these novel data in the supplementary material.45

We believe the high precision data we present here will prove an important tool to test models of the femto- to picosecond dynamics of liquid water. For example, although previous single-experiment studies of these dynamics have almost invariably been interpreted in terms of the existence of two dielectric relaxations, the large discrepancy between the purported time constants of the more rapid of these two phases (Table I) led Ellison,18 Beneduci,19 and Vij et al.42 to independently conclude that the dynamics of water over this timescale are more accurately described as the sum of three Debye relaxations. To test this hypothesis, however, these prior authors combined data from multiple, disparate experimental approaches. The data set we have obtained, in contrast, is of sufficient quality to confirm this hypothesis with good statistical significance using a single, internally consistent data set. To see this, we fit the real and imaginary components of the complex permittivity we observed for pure water using a model containing either two or three Debye relaxation processes (\( n = 2 \) or 3 in Eqs. (2) and (3)). [Note: we performed the fit as a fit of \( \log(\varepsilon') \) versus the logarithm of the dielectric response (i.e., we took the logarithm of both sides of Eq. (2)) in order to suppress the otherwise overwhelming contribution of the slowest relaxation to the global fit; the amplitude of term in Eq. (2) associated with the slowest relaxation is two orders of magnitude larger than those associated with the other, faster relaxations, and thus it is so heavily weighted in a linear fit that the precision with which we can determine the parameters associated with the (much smaller) faster relaxations is reduced. This said, fits to Eq. (2) (i.e., in its original, linear form) produce time constants and amplitudes within uncertainty of those seen for the logarithmic fit, albeit with larger confidence intervals (see Table S1)].

In our fits, the four parameters in the two-Debye model, \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_\infty, \tau_D, \) and \( \tau_2 \), are varied simultaneously and the static permittivity, \( \varepsilon_\infty \), is held fixed at the literature value.13,18,21,44 The best-fit values of \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_\infty \) are 5.48 \( \pm \) 0.10 and 3.5 \( \pm \) 0.3, respectively; the latter appears to be somewhat higher than the value of 3.06 (no confidence intervals reported) previously derived46 from independent observations of refractive index of pure water at 4.5 THz. The best-fit values of the two time constants are \( \tau_D = 8.23 \pm 0.25 \) ps and \( \tau_2 = 410 \pm 150 \) fs (Fig. 2, top; adjusted \( R^2 = 0.99979 \); reduced \( \chi^2 = 3.65 \times 10^{-5} \)). The former agrees closely with previously reported values (Table I). The time constant we obtain for the latter, more rapid process, however, differs significantly from previously reported values, which tend to cluster around either 1 ps or 100 fs (Table I).

More detailed inspection of our data suggests that the latter discrepancy occurs because, as suspected, the two-Debye model is insufficient to explain the relaxation dynamics of water.18,19,42 To show this, we fit our data to two more complex models, namely a sum of two Debye relaxation processes (Table I) and a sum of up to three such processes (Fig. 2, bottom; see Table S1).
models. The first is the three-Debye model suggested earlier by Vij et al., Ellison, and Beneduci. The second is a two-Debye-plus-resonance model likewise promulgated by numerous previous authors.

The three-Debye model, in which we vary \( \tau_D, \tau_2, \tau_3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \) and \( \epsilon_\infty \), achieves a significantly improved correlation coefficient relative to the two-Debye model (Fig. 2, top; adjusted \( R^2 = 0.99997 \); reduced \( \chi^2 = 4.65 \times 10^{-5} \)), significantly reduced fit residuals (root-mean-squared residuals: 0.002 versus 0.007 for the real components and 0.002 versus 0.005 for the imaginary components), and significantly reduced serial correlations of the residuals (\( R^2 = 0.954 \) versus 0.995 for the real and 0.945 versus 0.992 for the imaginary; see Fig. S2 for comparison of the residuals). The fit to the three-Debye model produces \( \epsilon_\infty = 3.2 \pm 0.3 \), which is now within experimental uncertainty of the prior literature value and \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \) of 6.05 \( \pm \) 0.10 and 4.91 \( \pm \) 0.10, respectively. Not surprisingly, this three Debye model recovers the same large amplitude, slower relaxation apparent in previous dielectric relaxation spectroscopy studies (\( \tau_D = 8.37 \pm 0.25 \) ps, relative amplitude 96.2\% \( \pm \) 0.5\%). In addition, the three Debye model outputs relaxations with time constants that, at 1.05 \( \pm \) 0.15 ps and 178 \( \pm \) 50 fs (relative amplitudes of 1.5\% \( \pm \) 0.3\% and 2.3\% \( \pm \) 0.3\%, respectively), correspond closely to each of the two faster processes so often reported in the prior literature (Table I).

In addition to the two- and three-Debye models we have, for completeness, also fitted our data using a model that includes a resonant process suggested by prior authors as an important component in the femto-to-picosecond dynamics of liquid water. Specifically, we have added a damped resonance term to Eq. (2)

\[
\epsilon^* = \epsilon_\infty + \frac{\pi^2 A_{OSC}/(2\pi)^2}{\nu_{OSC}^2 - \nu^2 + i\nu(k_{OSC}/2\pi)},
\]

where \( A_{OSC}/(2\pi)^2, \nu_{OSC}, \) and \( k_{OSC} \) are, respectively, the spectral amplitude of the damped resonance, its frequency, and its damping coefficient. We fit our data to a version of this model that includes two Debye relaxations (i.e., \( n = 2 \)) and one damped resonance, varying the parameters \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_\infty, \tau_D, \tau_2, \nu_{OSC}, A_{OSC}, \) and \( k_{OSC} \) but, as for the two- and three-Debye models described above, fixing the static permittivity, \( \epsilon_s = \epsilon_{j=1=0} \), to its literature value.

Doing so, we obtain best-fit values of \( \epsilon_1 = 5.23 \pm 0.10, \epsilon_\infty = 3.52 \pm 0.30, \tau_D = 8.36 \pm 0.25 \) ps, \( \tau_2 = 0.45 \pm 0.15 \) ps, \( \nu_{OSC} = 1.4 \pm 0.1 \) THz, \( A_{OSC}/(2\pi)^2 = 39.9 \pm 0.5 \) THz\(^2 \) and \( k_{OSC} = 7.4 \pm 0.5 \) THz. However, while this fit is reasonably good, the best-fit value of \( \tau_2 \) differs rather significantly with estimates from the prior literature (Table I). Moreover, the fit statistics for the two-Debye-plus-damped-resonance model are poorer than those of the three Debye model (adjusted \( R^2 = 0.99993 \); reduced \( \chi^2 = 1.3 \times 10^{-5} \) for the two-Debye-plus-resonance model versus \( R^2 = 0.99997 \) and reduced \( \chi^2 = 4.65 \times 10^{-6} \) for the three-Debye model). And despite the fact that the two-Debye-plus-resonance model contains one more free parameter than the three-Debye model, both the fit residuals (root-mean-squared residuals: 0.002 versus 0.004 for both the real and imaginary components) and their serial correlations (\( R^2 \) of 0.954 versus 0.980 for the real and 0.945 versus 0.985 for the imaginary) are improved for the three-Debye model (see Fig. S2 for comparisons of the residuals). Taken together, these observations suggest that the three Debye model provides a more accurate description of the femto-to-picosecond dynamics of liquid water.

Our ability to, for the first time, robustly and simultaneously quantify all three Debye processes in a single experimental data set stems from the improved signal-to-noise ratio of our spectrometer and the broad range of frequencies it spans. Specifically, the previously reported ~1 ps value is associated with frequency-domain spectroscopy conducted below 410 GHz. The lack of high-frequency coverage in these studies would render them relatively insensitive to low-amplitude relaxation process occurring at time scales faster than ~1 ps. The reported sub-picosecond time constants, in contrast, arise primarily from time-domain terahertz spectroscopic studies conducted over the range of 100 GHz–2 THz. And thus, the insufficient low-frequency coverage of these studies could render them insensitive to low-amplitude processes that are slower than ~200 fs. The spectral range of our spectrometer, in contrast, spans all of the relevant time scales, thus largely alleviating these potentially important biases.

To provide further tests of theoretical models of the femto-to-picosecond dynamics of liquid water, we have also characterized the dielectric relaxation responses of aqueous sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions, collecting data at concentrations as high as 3M (corresponding to 18\% w/v). For an electrolyte solution of conductivity \( \sigma \), the dielectric properties of the solutions are in the form

\[
\epsilon^* = \epsilon_\infty + \Delta \epsilon_{ii} + \Delta \epsilon_{sw} + \sum_{n=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{j=1}-\epsilon_{j}}{1+i2\pi \nu_j} \right) + \frac{\sigma}{2\pi \nu \epsilon_0},
\]

where \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space. The second and the third terms of Eq. (5), \( \Delta \epsilon_{ii} \) and \( \Delta \epsilon_{sw} \), respectively, reflect the contributions of the tumbling of the ion (and its associated hydration shell) and the rotational relaxation of water molecules within the hydration shell. However, due to, respectively, the increased mass and strong Coulombic field of solvated ions, these terms do not contribute significantly to the relaxation dynamics of water over the frequency range under investigation and can be neglected in our analysis. The fourth term in this equation reflects the contributions of the solvent (water) to the dielectric response of the solution and the final term reflects Ohmic losses due to the conductivity of electrolyte solutions.

Our high-resolution spectra of aqueous salt solutions provide a vehicle to further explore the relevant characteristics of the two-Debye, three-Debye, and two-Debye-plus-resonance models. Specifically, we first fit these data to Eq. (5) (with \( n = 2 \) or 3), varying the parameters \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_\infty, \tau_D, \) and \( \epsilon_2 \) but fixing the measured electrical conductivity, \( \sigma \), using independently derived, salt-concentration-dependent values derived from measurements of bulk conductivity (see Fig. S1). Once again, we find that the three-Debye model provides a statistically significant improvement in the fits of both the real and the imaginary components relative to the two-Debye model. For example, for data collected at 2M (Fig. 2, bottom), both the reduced \( \chi^2 \) and...
adjusted $R^2$ are improved ($1.32 \times 10^{-5}$ versus $1.17 \times 10^{-4}$ and 0.9999 versus 0.9991) for the three-Debye model, as are the fit residuals (root-mean-squared residuals: 0.004 versus 0.011 for the real components and 0.003 versus 0.011 for the imaginary components; serial correlation of the residuals: $R^2 = 0.976$ versus 0.997 for the real components and $R^2 = 0.946$ versus 0.996 for the imaginary components). And, as was true for our studies of pure water, the best fit value of $\varepsilon_\infty$ for our salt solutions, $3.2 \pm 0.3$, is also in good agreement with previous observations. We then fit our salt solution data to a two-Debye-plus-resonance model (Eq. (S1)), but, as was true for the case with pure water, we once again do not find any significant improvement in the fit (Fig. S2 and Table S2). Furthermore, the best-fit resonance frequency $\nu_{OSC}$ shifts from $1.4 \pm 0.1$ THz for pure water to $1.1 \pm 0.1$ THz at 2M salt, increasing the difficulty of assigning this putative resonance to a plausible physical mechanism. It thus appears that, as it does for pure water, the three Debye model accurately captures the femto-to-picosecond relaxational dynamics of water even in the presence of very high concentrations of dissolved salt.

In contrast to prior studies of aqueous salt solutions, the time constants of the relaxations we observe are effectively independent of salt concentration over the entire concentration range we have explored (Fig. 3, top). Specifically, fitting our data to the three-Debye model (and fixing $\sigma$ as above using independently obtained measurements of direct current conductivity), we obtain relaxation time constants within experimental uncertainty of the mean values of $8.05 \pm 0.55$ ps, $1.25 \pm 0.35$ ps, and $185 \pm 50$ fs across all salt concentrations. These values are likewise within uncertainty of the values obtained above for pure water. The amplitudes of the three phases, in contrast, vary strongly with increasing salt (Fig. 3, bottom). Specifically, while the amplitude of the slowest relaxation decreases significantly (by 48% at 3M) with increasing salt concentrations, those of the two more rapid processes increase (by 260% and 53%, respectively, at 3M). The differential salt-dependence of these amplitudes would explain why, in contrast to our observations, prior authors found that the time constants of water’s faster relaxation is also salt-dependent. Specifically, prior studies assumed that the relaxation of water is a two-Debye process. As the salt concentration rises and the relative amplitudes of the two more rapid phases shift, the weighted contributions of these two phases to the (assumed) single rapid process would also change, changing its best-fit time constant.

**DISCUSSION**

The theoretical literature regarding the dynamics of liquid water is voluminous; so much so that we cannot provide an exhaustive review of it much less an exhaustive discussion of how these theories fair in light of our new, high-resolution data set. Nevertheless, we discuss here in brief some highlights illustrating the ways in which our data set can shed light on several of these theories. Examples include the model of Laage and Hynes, who describe the complete molecule reorientation via angular jumps and frame tumbling occurring at ~6 ps, and the model of Zasetsky who consists of reorientations of fixed axis dipoles in the double well potential setup by the four neighboring waters such that from thermally activated escape from this potential well occurs with a time constant of ~5 ps. Because most of the molecules in liquid water participate in their full complement of hydrogen bonds, and because the relevant motion entails a large-scale reorientation of the water dipole, such processes would be expected to contribute significantly to water’s overall dielectric relaxation response. This is consistent with our data suggesting that this process contributes 96.2% of the total dielectric response of pure water at these frequencies.

The salt-independence of the time constant of the slowest relaxation and the strong salt-dependence of its amplitude are consistent with the above mechanistic assignment. Specifically, previous researchers have argued that sodium and
chloride ions do not affect the bulk structure of water, suggesting that they would not alter the rate of this large-scale conformational rearrangement. In contrast to its rate, however, the amplitude of the 8 ps relaxation changes quite appreciably as a function of salt concentration. Specifically, its absolute amplitude decreases by 48% as the salt concentration is raised from 0 to 3M. The concentration of water in these solutions, in contrast, falls by only 6% (from 55.5 to 52.1M) over this same range of salt concentrations. The discrepancy in these two numbers has previously been attributed to two mechanisms, both of which presumably contribute. First, water molecules in the tightly bound first hydration shell surrounding each ion likely do not participate in this slowest relaxation process due to the reduced orientational polarization of water in a strong Coulombic field of ions, an effect known as dielectric saturation. Second, the movement of ions in an electric field will reorient water molecules in opposition to the field due to kinetic depolarization, an effect that arises due to a coupling between the dielectric and hydrodynamic properties of liquids. Given the assumptions that any water molecules in the hydration shell do not participate in this slowest relaxation process, the reduced amplitude of this process at high salt provides a means of determining the number of water molecules per equivalent of electrolyte that is unable to contribute to the solvent relaxation process. The value we obtain, $\sim 4.8 \pm 0.5$ water molecules bound to each sodium ion (see methods in supplementary material, and note that prior dielectric response studies have shown that the dynamics of water molecules in the hydration shell of chloride ion are virtually indistinguishable those of from bulk water), is in good agreement with estimates of the size of the solvation shell of this ion derived previously using continuum theory approaches.

The next more rapid relaxation process that we observe, which is also observed in polarization-selective pump-probe experiments, occurs at $\sim 1$ ps. Many molecular dynamics-based studies have suggested that this relaxation corresponds to the reorientation of “weakly bound” water molecules lacking at least three of their four potential hydrogen bonds. This interpretation, however, is not universally accepted: Zasetsky, for example, has suggested that it arises due to “intrawall relaxation” of water molecules residing in the potential double well setup by its four closest neighbors. Our data support the former, “weakly bound waters” assignment for this more rapid mode. For example, the 1.5% relative amplitude we observe for this intermediate time scale process is reasonably consistent with previous estimates that only 2%-4% of the molecules in room temperature water participate in one or fewer hydrogen bonds. Perhaps more compellingly, this mechanistic assignment is also supported by our observation that, while the rate of this process is independent of salt concentration, its absolute amplitude increases strongly with increasing salt. Specifically, its absolute amplitude increases by 260% as the salt concentration rises from 0 to 3M despite the fact that the concentration of water in the solution falls by 6% (or more if, as appears to be true for the relaxation underlying the slowest phase, the water molecules in the hydration shell do not participate in this relaxation). We believe this occurs because, as has been suggested by molecular dynamics simulations, solute-driven disruption of water’s precisely hydrogen-bonded structure leads to an increase in the number of partially hydrogen bonded waters with increasing solute concentration. In contrast, our observations are difficult to reconcile with Zasetsky’s assignment of this mode to intrawall relaxation, as the number of water molecules residing in the appropriate potential double well (which requires four tetrahedrally arranged neighbors) would be expected to fall rather than to rise as the concentration of water falls with increasing salt.

The fastest of the three relaxations we observe, which exhibits a 180 fs time constant and 2.3% relative amplitude, is also observed via time-domain terahertz spectroscopy. Molecular dynamics and quantum mechanical computations suggest that this phase arises due to the rapid disruption and reformation of individual hydrogen bonds. This is, this process may reflect water molecules that liberate slightly from their most stable hydrogen bonding geometry, breaking a single hydrogen bond before relaxing back to the same position and reforming the bond. Consistent with this argument, the speed we observations are dependent of salt concentration, which would be expected for a process associated with the breaking and reforming of single hydrogen bonds. This widely cited mechanistic assignment is less easy to reconcile, however, with our observation that, like that of the intermediate relaxation, the absolute amplitude of this fastest relaxation increases (by 53% at 3M) with rising salt concentrations. Specifically, given that the concentration of water molecules, and thus the total number of hydrogen bonds per unit volume, decreases with increasing salt, our observations appear inconsistent with the assignment of the fastest relaxation process to the breaking and reforming of single hydrogen bonds, the number of which would likewise be expected to decrease.
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